

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LUSAN RAHMAN,

Petitioner,

vs.

CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO, *et al.*,

Respondents.

2:13-cv-01334-GMN-GWF

ORDER

This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, by a Nevada state prisoner.

Petitioner has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). The petition on file in this action is sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that petitioner wishes to bring. It does not appear that counsel is justified in this instance. The motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.

Petitioner has paid the filing fee for this action. (ECF No. 4). The petition shall now be filed and served on respondents. A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. *See* 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (successive petitions).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk shall **FILE and ELECTRONICALLY SERVE** the petition (ECF No. 1-1) upon the respondents.

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have **forty-five (45)** days from entry of
2 this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other
3 response, respondents shall address all claims presented in the petition. Respondents shall raise all
4 potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and
5 procedural default. **Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained.** If an answer is filed,
6 respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the
7 United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have
8 **forty-five (45) days** from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents shall
10 be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The hard copy
11 of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the staff attorneys in the **Reno**
12 Division of the Clerk of Court.

13 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney
14 General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for
15 consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a
16 certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney
17 General. The Court may disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After
18 respondents appear in this action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy
19 Attorney General assigned to the case.

20 **DATED** this 30th day of September, 2013.

21
22
23 
24 _____
25 Gloria M. Navarro
26 United States District Judge